



4th August 2024

MUN

की बात

Issue 03





TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Closing Ceremony</i>	01
<i>UNGA</i>	02
<i>UNSC</i>	04
<i>The Executive Buffet</i>	06
<i>DISEC</i>	10
<i>UNHRC</i>	13
<i>Gossip Girl</i>	15
<i>WHO</i>	16
<i>ICC</i>	19
<i>MUNtrest</i>	22
<i>LON</i>	25
<i>Netflix key moments of the day</i>	29
<i>Credits</i>	30

Closing Ceremony

The closing ceremony on August 4th marked the end of the conference. Under-Secretary-General Miss Janhavi Dhamdhare welcomed esteemed dignitaries, including His Excellency Mr. Ahmad Zuwairi Yusoff, Consul-General of Malaysia, Miss Sonal Panda, and Mr. Punish Sharma.

Miss Janhavi reflected on the successful debates and resolutions, highlighting the delegates' diplomatic prowess and empathy. Cultural highlights included the school song and a performance of "Lean on Me" by the school choir. The 2024 Montage video celebrated the efforts of delegates, the Executive Board, the Secretariat, and the Organizing Committee.

Acknowledgements followed, with Mrs. Kalyani Patnaik, Director-General Miss Tanisha Chadha and Secretary-General Master Neev Ramani presenting tokens of appreciation to esteemed guests. Speeches by dignitaries added depth to the proceedings, inspiring delegates with insights into global diplomacy and international relations.

The awards ceremony, conducted by Under-Secretary-General Saaranya Kapur, recognised delegates for their exceptional performance across various committees. The Best Committee and Best Delegation Awards were announced by the Head of School, Mrs. Kalyani Patnaik, adding excitement to the celebrations.


Director-General Tanisha Chadha expressed gratitude to the team and delegates, emphasizing the theme of partnership for peace. Secretary-General Neev Ramani delivered a closing address, reflecting on the conference's journey and declaring its closure.

The ceremony concluded with the National Anthem and a photography session, capturing the memories and achievements of the day. As delegates dispersed, they carried with them a renewed sense of purpose and commitment to making a difference in the world.

HFS MUN 2024 was not just a conference; it was a testament to the power of young minds united in the pursuit of peace and progress, leaving a lasting impact on all participants.

PEACE ACROSS OR PIZZA CROSS?

-Maithili Kauthekar and Ananya Satyanarayan



Minor confusions often lead to happy accidents, right? We saw a live, harmless example of that fresh into the third day of UNGA at HFS MUN when The UK, representing the anti-Iran bloc, referred to their paper as ‘Pizza Cross’. A pre-breakfast, hungry EB shot up with “Where did you get the pizza from?”, and the delegate’s “No, like ‘peace’ across!” clarified his intentions of a white flower, not flour.

The neutral bloc were like the upper east siders of the committee, with everyone vying for them to be on their side. However, the atmosphere turned vinegar when Iran disparaged Yemen, saying, “Yemen who??? The opposition is cooked, and Yemen is s**t, and his writing is s***ier.” Russia proceeded to swipe at the UK, screaming, “The UK was a coloniser and still acts like one.”


A moment of levity occurred during the distribution of certificates when Yemen pointed out that his country’s name was listed as ‘Yemen’, rather than ‘People’s Democratic Republic of Yemen’. The EB, sassy and classy, quipped back, “Your country’s name changes every three weeks.”

Pakistan, ironically but amusingly, consistently emphasised its commitment to peace on the global stage. The delegate pledged support through food and medical aid, stressing that “an injury to one is an injury to all.” Further emphasis was placed on Pakistan’s ‘neutral stance’, with hopes of providing aid without taking sides. We, too, aren’t allowed to take sides, or else this article would look different.

The primary focus was on ensuring that the Houthis adhered to all clauses in any peace treaties or talks. Another significant concern was the level of training that the Houthis had received and the identification of their allies(and potential ones!).

**"YEMEN WHO??? THE
OPPOSITION IS COOKED,
AND YEMEN IS S**T, AND
HIS WRITING IS S***IER."**

-DELEGATE OF IRAN



The Delegate of Iran, who gave out “I’m Iran” like candy as an excuse for almost everything, was indecisive when questioned about whether Iran was involved in a proxy war with Saudi Arabia. Taste of his own medicine(...or candy?). The USA clashed with the International Press over the country’s alleged initiation of another war, while their only argument was their poorly-worded statement for attempting to protect democracy. Continuing what some would call a sob story, they also defended their possession of nuclear weapons, claiming it was a deterrent against nuclear attacks from other nations.

In an unexpected twist, Yemen questioned Iran mourning the death of the head of Palestine, accusing the deceased leader of being a terrorist. Well, this death wasn’t the only death in committee, for the delegate’s knowledge of the country’s foreign policy definitely suffered some fatal blows. Yemen referred to the Delegate of Afghanistan as the “Delegate of the Taliban” (via chit) and was promptly barred from the committee(gasp).

The EB’s special edition MUN 101 about formalities and drafting procedures seemed to be in vain, as the committee ultimately failed to reach a consensus, and all three working papers, including the single press release drafted by the delegates, were abandoned. Despite the good and the bad, the ugly and the sad, through the setbacks and conflicts, delegates gained invaluable experience in diplomatic negotiations, public speaking, and navigating complex international issues, ultimately enriching their understanding of global diplomacy. But if narrowed down to one lesson to be learnt today, it is to NEVER, EVER (for the love of god do NOT) address your EB by their name, for that is exactly why you get barred.



Confusion and cross-talk were the primary perpetrators for the rejection of directives and debate, on the third day of the committee in the United Nations Security Council, at the HFS MUN. Still amidst a crisis, the delegates of the United Kingdom, China, Saudi Arabia, Chad, the United States, and Slovenia wasted no time in presenting their directive. Their determined presentation highlighted the importance of providing aid, stating the nations themselves would be doing all they could to help the Darfuri crisis, with the United States promising 100 million dollars and the Saudi contributing 70 billion Riyals, annually. Tall claims by big shots.


A pivotal point of order that would alarm the committee was raised when South Sudan and Uganda claimed that they were excluded as contributors of the directive, despite their efforts in helping draft the paper. This was quickly dealt with, by the EB, reducing one argument at a time, cheat code to gain world peace.



BY ARYAN PANDA AND SIMRAN CHOUHDURY

Progressive Paperwork or Devastating Draft?

Despite the impotent attempts, it seemed like the committee was drawn to Action Lasts Longer, But Argument Is More Fun and followed the directive with heated rounds of enquiry. The delegate of Chad, who had decided to welcome in Sudanese refugees in the directive, was questioned how they would accommodate refugees, while they themselves were going through a refugee crisis, while others condemned the use of previously ineffective DDR policies mentioned in the directives.



Finally, the committee had to vote on the directive, and alas! The only constructive directive of the debate was rejected in a 17-10 vote. The EB, eventually, had to acknowledge that even though the crisis was ongoing, due to the lack of constructive work in the committee, formal debate would have to resume. The thing about new beginnings is that they require something else to end, and this line of thought wasn't acceptable to the committee, maybe because they didn't see eye to eye with the directive, or perhaps because the "delegates' egos got in the way."

- "IT
MIGHT
SOUND
LIKE I AM
INSULTING
MY
COUNTRY
BUT WE
ARE
REFERRED
TO AS
SAND
EATERS." -
DELEGATE
OF CHAD.

The committee fell back on its old ways as the motion to deliberate on the impacts of DDR passed, much like the day before. The delegate of South Korea seemed completely uninterested in the prospect of implementing DDR and shocked everyone by yelling, that the RSF is a "Backward minded extremist organisation!" To further heighten the controversy in the committee, the delegate of the United Kingdom, a little later, asked "Can the delegate of South Korea be moved to another place as his remarks of 'whether my consent matters or not' is really disturbing me."

The delegate of South Africa proposed a framework designed with African leadership, while the delegate of Chad, almost ignoring the dignity of his own people, called them "sand eaters" while attempting to justify that Chad could not accept refugees.

The committee finally ended when the schism between blocs and delegates proved to be a futile rocky road in writing a draft resolution. A mere committee report was submitted and the Executive Board's disappointment was more than evident. Regardless, What Is aN MUN Without A Side Of Drama? Even if drama, is the only thing that comes out of it.

The EXECUTIVE Buffet

COMMITTEE'S

- UNGA
- UNSC
- UNHRC.....
- ICC.....
- WHO.....
- LON
- DISEC.....



Vihaan's Visionary Caesar Salad....\$25,000,000



Shivam's Strategic Tomato Basil Soup...\$35,000,00



Om's Operational Denver Omelette.\$20,000,000



Shanay's Savvy Club Sandwich. \$57,000,00



Varun's Victory Balsamic Vinaigrette Salad ..\$45,000,000



Teesta's Tactical Tacos al Pastor \$79,000,000

The EXECUTIVE Buffet

BON-APPETIT!



Gia's Guiding Gazpacho
\$80,000,000



Vyom's Vibrant Veggie Platter
\$90,000,000



Shrihan's Stir-Fry Sensation...
\$100,000,000



Dheer's Dynamic Masala Dosa.. 70,000,000



Anas' Analytical Antipasto Platter... \$71,000,000



Arhaa's Acumen Bruschetta \$73,000,000

S
P
E
C
I
A
L
S

The EXECUTIVE Buffet



**Navya's
Navigational
Loaded
Nachos...
\$73,000,000**



**Aditya's Analytical
Avocado
Toast...\$100,000,000**



**Talin's
Tactical
Chicken
Tenders...
\$75,000,000**



**Marshaa's Motivational
Miso Soup... \$73,000,000**



**Sidharth's Strategic Sushi Rolls..
\$78,000,000**



**Prakket's Pragmatic Pesto
Pasta ... \$75,000,000**

The EXECUTIVE Buffet



**Gokul's Guiding
Hungarian
Goulash...
\$78,000,000**



**Jay's Judicious Jalapeño Poppers..
\$74,000,000**



**Aryaveer's
Ambitious
Apple Pie..
\$80,000,000**



**Kabir's Crisis-Calming
Thai
Green...\$89,000,000**



**Nikhil's
Notable
Szechuan
Noodles..
\$78,000,000**

NOW SERVING!



Monkey see, monkey do


By Aarna Ponnappa, Avani Garg and Sofia Gluckman

What is the DISEC committee without a side of drama, commotion, chaos, or the humiliation of other delegates? Nothing, simply nothing. Thankfully, today, THAT was not the crisis we would face. The door of the conference hall was the only thing they shared, for after walking past it, they immediately separated into their blocs. It was clear as to whose resolution was more likely to pass. As usual, our main star, Palestine, was not present, leaving Israel to steal the unwanted spotlight.

“Sometimes the good guys have to do bad things to make the bad guys pay,” was quoted word-for-word from the famous *Harvey Specter*, by the delegate of Lebanon, who started the series of GSL speeches. The delegate had her eyes set on sending a missile to the USA, claiming that the USA followed in the footsteps of Hamas, as she stated, *“Monkey see, monkey do.”* Ukraine, next up for the GSL, declared that Israel uses their citizens as human shields, describing how the Arab League is *“incompetent”* and can’t even destroy their enemies. These speeches are what made the committee an anarchic place, which is what we all loved.

Israel raised a motion to enter a round-robin. The countries, as usual, insulted each other as much as possible, letting only their respect for each other go to waste, not any opportunities. From “China is Winnie the Pooh” to “What would you do if a rat snuck into your house?” and comparing Palestine's situation to Kim Jong Un’s farts- nothing was off the charts (except how bizarre the analogies were, of course!).

The committee seemed to avoid the agenda at all costs. The US stepped up and shocked all with statements such as addressing the NSAs as “boom- boom groups” and commenting “Palestine will be free; when the river runs red with Hamas’s blood, it will be.”



Tensions were high as the next version of The Blame Game began. Russia, Chad, and Oman discussed the need for a two-state solution. As many insults flew between the two sides of the committee, it resembled an untrained doggy daycare. Looking at the situation, China stated, “There is a difference between dogs that bark and dogs that bite,” which was countered by the EB, “Delegate, did you just call yourself a dog?”

Dug his own grave with that one, maybe he was looking for a bone? India was urging the committee to focus on the agenda at hand, and Syria randomly started shooting their gun at the wall. The round-robin wrapped up with a squabble between a temporary delegation of Palestine and Israel as to which should be recognised as a state.

An unmoderated caucus was declared. With the clock ticking every second, the delegates began a race to finish their draft resolutions as fast as possible.

“There is a difference between dogs that bark and dogs that bite”



By~ Mehek Jeelani

China, Israel, and the USA took charge, leading their blocs into victory, or so they thought. Unexpectedly, Israel, with the USA as its right-hand man (or, in this case, woman), lost the war to China and India. The two-state solution vs. Israel, as we all know, won.

Our time with DISEC for this year has sadly come to an end. With our beloved delegates and EB, we have witnessed crises, open floors, robberies, and many gunshots fired. Delegates enjoyed humiliating their enemies and arguing with anyone who came in their way.

You know you love DISEC, the most chaotic (and best, OFFICIALLY) committee XOXO, HFS MUN 2024.

**“ THE
GOVERNMENT OF
ISRAEL IS
ILLITERATE,
SINCE THEY
CLEARLY DON'T
KNOW HOW TO
READ LAWS! ”**

Relationship Status: It's Complicated

WRITTEN BY: ALANNAH MAXEN, PRIYANA ADANI,
RIMSHA SYED

There is but one phrase to describe day 3 of UNHRC, “If you win the best delegate, just know it's a fluke”, a very Van der Woodsen-style achievement, and it was said by none other than the merciful Executive Board.

“How do you justify talking about human rights when your country literally funds the war on human rights,” This being the only debate-worthy question asked in the excruciating three-hour period of the committee, but once again, it was the EB who had the prowess, talent and frankly, the guts to make such a statement. After countless attempts made by the EB to improve the debate, the delegates finally decided on a few more moderated caucuses. But, like a fork to the patient's hot soup, most of their speeches were vague and had almost no relation to the agenda.

By~ Panav Nanda



By~ Panav Nanda



In the multiple speeches by the delegate of Palestine, they never once managed to confirm if they were supporting their own country's cause or not. They kept reiterating that they did not support Hamas and wanted the committee to know that nobody should associate Hamas with Palestine. But, when asked by the delegate of Israel about their plans to protect and defend their country, they brought up the very organisation they claim to not consider under their country's government. They said to Hamas, “Just because we can't be together doesn't mean I don't love you.” For this question, the EB threw a chocolate at the delegate of Israel which they failed to catch. In his words, “I cannot!”


The delegate of the state of Israel brutally questioned Palestine's authority when they said, *“What right does the representative of the PLO have in international relations?”* After which the debate was abruptly put on hold as the EB had a ‘very important’ announcement, *“If you are going to send me messages on Instagram, please mention that you are a delegate of HFS MUN.”*

After formal debate resumed, the delegate of France took the opportunity to insult the government of the State of Israel *“The government of Israel is illiterate, since they clearly don’t know how to read laws!”* Unfortunately, this statement caught on as Israel made France publicly apologise at the end of the formal debate, much like saying *I Tried To Warn You. There's A Price To Pay.*



Towards the end of the final session, the substance(or the lack thereof) of the substantive chits completely turned the tables for the EB, as they had to pick the awardees. Most of them had no applicable points! All that the EB had to say was, *“It’s a substantive chit, but there's no substance in it!”*

Overall, with allegations, brownies, and collaborations, what the committee observed were the delegates strapped with morals (but stripped of ethics) onto a rollercoaster of emotions, ideas and stances, riding over arguments, bumps, and catastrophes into the HFS MUN 2024.



By~ Panav Nanda

MUN Gossip



Sonia Gandhi was seen entering a Mafia House with a suspicious suitcase. Was the bag filled with euros, teddy bears, or just hair care products for her luscious salt and pepper mane? Or was she perhaps crashing into a partay she wasn't invited to? So much baggage to unpack!
 #SaltandPepperQueen #WeLoveTeddyBears

UNGA

The delegate of Morocco was out loitering while the committee was in session. Is he planning something big? To find out more, keep reading.
 XOXO, Gossip Girl.



LON

As the Press Conference took the committee by storm, the Delegate of USSR had to take a seat before being addressed by the Head of Press. Was it the after-effects of vodka or had his knees buckled in front of the pretty woman about to question him? Keep reading to find out.
 #SchemersAndDreamers #SecretsRevealed



UNSC

Overheard:
 Delegate M of the United Kingdom just announced that she felt "disturbed" by delegate G of South Africa's presence near her. Brewing hatred or Crafty scheming?
 Only time will tell XOXO



Who else noticed the sparks flying between the Delegate of USA and the Delegate of Canada? Something tells me this alliance is more than just diplomatic. #SecretAlliance
 #MUNRomance



Israel voting against their ally USA in a poll! Does Israel have a price to pay for their decision?
 Keep reading to find out,XOXO,
 #backstabber #israelvsusa

DISEC

Syria, ready to launch insults at USA. Shooting a gun in committee causing commotion. EB questions whether or not they think they are writing a Kendrick Lamar diss track. Does the delegate consider themselves a new rap star or just truly hate USA?? Keep reading to find out,
 XOXO. #thenextbigstar #syriavsusa

THE GAME OF LIFE


BY: SHLOK BANERJEE, SOHAM SHAH

For the first time in three days, the committee of the WHO at the HFS MUN had a regular start. The GSL was finally continued after the scanty speeches given on the first day. Brazil ranted about how they wanted international cooperation, which seems like something they should've brought up two days ago. After the delegate of South Korea finished the GSL, they promptly asked to "dissolve the chair". A few dull speeches later, the delegate of the USA raised a motion to deliberate upon the two different Draft Resolutions.

The uncanny bloc "*The Bloc*" was up first. The delegates of China and Saudi Arabia presented their DR. They began by asserting that all states must comply with their newly proposed treaty. Additionally, they firmly stated that everyone should work with them to edit the BWC in order to make it more concise and up-to-date. They wanted to create a state-of-the-art research lab to ensure top-notch defence against biological weapons.

The delegate of China also mentioned "*investigating countries that are acting suspicious*". Unfortunately for them, the questions following up turned out to be the delegates' worst nightmare. It seemed to never end, just like the bickering between the delegates of the USA and China- the committee's most plausible enemies-to-lovers.

The Executive Board questioned this resolution as the bloc did not mention anything about funding. The delegates had no viable answer and they walked back to their seats, staring at their toes and scratching their heads.



By~ Hrihaan Shah

Next up was the delegate of USA and the delegate of Canada, representing the NATO Bloc. The delegate of the USA began his presentation by cockily saying, “We’ll now present the better, more accurate DR.”. Both delegates emphasised on the measures that could be taken by countries of the world, by signing the BWFC, a “new and better version” of the BWC.

**“ WE CANNOT
SAVE THE
WORLD IF
THERE IS NO
WORLD . ”**

After a barrage of questions, the GSL started yet again. Only a few speeches later, the delegate of Russia accused the committee of being incompetent, for which he was heavily targeted. Firstly, the delegate of Japan questioned Russia stating, “When you say that this committee is incompetent, does that not include Russia itself?”.



By~ Hrihaan Shah

Soon, the Executive Board questioned the delegates if they thought that they were also incompetent, considering that they were a part of the committee. The delegate then redacted his statement almost as fast as the EB would redact his certificate, had he fallen prey to that trap.

After the Executive Board encouraged the delegates for a few more motions, the delegate of China challenged the delegate of the United States for 1v1. China started their attack by simply stating, “China stands that our resolution is better.”.



However, the delegate of the USA was ready for this, going to the extent of saying “Delegates, this is an international UN forum, not a game of Among Us.” and “Your countries are at

risk based on how much China loves you and hates you.” The two delegates argued for a few more minutes before China concluded the debate by saying, “Kilometre? Never heard of it.”

After a while, the Director of UNSC (we don’t know what he was doing there either, okay?) explained the two types of voting, just as you’d explain it to a five-year-old. The delegates then proceeded to vote for the type of vote which would be used for voting on the resolution papers (repetition intended).

In the end, the exhausted and accomplished delegates sped out, chanting “BEST COMMITTEE EVER!” (Hopefully, they come back next year. We’ll wait). Even a full meal has flavours of sweet, spicy, and everything in between, this is a whole WHO conference, so how do we intervene!



Italian Roulette



200 million USD stolen, the Prime Minister poisoned, and a Mafia meet-up.

All hell had broken loose overnight in the Indian Crisis Cabinet. Not only was the country in complete disarray, but the United States had allied with Pakistan to form a deadly partnership, with nine highly advanced naval ships, such as USS John F Kennedy and Kitty Hawk, heading towards Bombay. A petition was issued by M.K. Narayana, accusing PV Narasimha Rao of pressuring RAW to suppress evidence related to the seven bomb blasts in Bombay and fabricating evidence against Sharad Pawar. S.P. Chavan began by justifying his gold transaction with Sonia Gandhi. Quite coincidental just a day later, the same Gandhi family member was seen entering the Gambino mafia family with two suitcases and leaving with none, pulling off a true Jack Bass. M.K. Narayan got up to the dais, already agitated, “You all know what happened the last time that we wrongfully accused someone; the Defence Minister was tortured, chained up and burnt alive.”, referring to Sharad Pawar.


~ By Viha Shah
and Kimaya Lahiri



The fickle-framed felon rose to the occasion and began protesting, “Just like I was chained publicly, Sonia Gandhi should be chained too!”. The committee’s Vanessa Abrams continued to be slandered as LK Advani said, “Sonia Gandhi, or should I say Antonio Maino?”. The ministers seemed to have lost all hope and trust in the Cabinet to save the country. The committee came to life when an unexpected trio of P.V. Narasimha, C.K. Jaffer Sharief and Mulayam Singh Yadav entered the spotlight with a joint speech. Mulayam Singh led with a violent accusation, “S.B. Chavan was taking funds from Italian scum, with Sonia Gandhi as the mediator.” Jaffer proposed an apparent win-win solution. The Head of Medina would be allowed to perform namaz on the disputed grounds, escorted by Pakistani forces who would only take action during any attack. This solution was met with harsh backlash as it would be too dangerous to let the enemy enter India. The second option, where the Head of Medina would only be allowed to step foot on a part of the disputed ground, was better received by the Cabinet, with the only exception being Pranab Mukherjee. His clear annoyance was displayed as he asked, “If the Head of Medina will ask for Kashmir, will you give it to him?”



“You all know what happened the last time that we wrongfully accused someone; the Defence Minister was tortured, chained up and burnt alive.”, referring to Sharad Pawar.




While the President announced a nationwide emergency, Pakistan and the US took back their tanks, halting its attempts to annex India. Bal Thackeray was back with his crusade of Hindutva. Although the PM's position remained untouched, the Defence Minister resigned and took up a new project as he orchestrated a new Congress Party. While the committee might have come to an end, the show always goes on, preparing for the next season!

You can't make people love you, but you can make them fear you. Sonia Gandhi took this quite literally when responding to the flurry of questions with aggressive responses. A court hearing took place to prove Narsimha Rao's innocence. After paragraphs of bickering, the jury finally came to a verdict. The good name of the Prime Minister was preserved. The lawyers involved in the prosecution were given six months of imprisonment for wasting the honourable court's time.











MUNTrest



HFS MUN

Secret . 97 pins



Day 1 | Day 2 | Day 3 | See all



DISEC

WHO

UNGA

UNSC

LON

Day 1


Day 2





Day 3

See all



Morocco



 Day 1
  Day 2
  Day 3 | See all
 

STAKES-AND- LADDERS

By- Saanvi Bhadange and Saara Maitra




“Can someone tell me what happened?”

Imagine a beautiful evening at the theatre. You see shades of red and hues of light behind the curtain. It unfurls, and the red is blood, the light is an explosion, and the entire star cast is dead. That’s the closest we can describe Japan’s state on the final day of the HFS MUN’s League of Nations.

It had suffered sufficient tragedies- the UK had bombed its ports and the USSR had assassinated its War Minister. The Executive Board had lost hope of a resolution in the committee, and the delegates neither said, nor did anything to prove otherwise. France expressed its distrust in the other countries, now that violence and killing were the decorated pageant sashes of each superpower. The delegate of Canada attempted to be the nice guy (as always), stating that such acts of violence in a peace organisation were simply unacceptable. “The land of the rising sun has flown too close to it,” noted the guest delegate of Germany. Turkey offered to wage war on Japan if they refused to sign a peace treaty, to which Germany raised the golden question, “What resources do you even have?” Turkey was unable to substantiate the resources and a plausible answer.


The next crisis update unveiled the fact that the UK had sent two naval attack ships to the USSR, both of which were sunk by the same. The delegates were utterly clueless about how to appropriately respond to the news and the EB watched as the committee fell into another cycle of blame, redundancy, and unnecessary use of the word ‘incompetent.’ They resorted to a round-robin to bring amusement to the committee, but did the delegates deliver? No. Neither the EB, nor we figured out what the delegates aimed to achieve through their debate, but it happened regardless.



“This isn’t Japan vs. China anymore,” stated Romania, hoping the other delegates would want to reach some comprehensive conclusion. However, the very next statement from Italy proved otherwise. “The UK wants to bomb anyone who’s whiter than them,” cried the delegate, sending the others into an uproar of cheering. Australia followed with their analogy, “The UK is like the ‘shaitan’, except the ‘shaitan’ actually won their battles.” How did the committee shift from condemning Japan to slandering the UK? We don’t know, and frankly, finding out would require the ratification of a whole other Report.

“Can someone tell me what happened?”

“USSR is the boss and UK is the scared employee,” Portugal stated, questioning why the UK called Japan “the one with squinted eyes” whereas, in reality, the British were the ones going more blind by the minute. “What is happening?” asked the delegate of the Czech Republic, standing completely clueless at the front of the room, hoping for someone to stand up and give him an explanation. Us, us, us.



The EB was on the verge of tears, the press members stared at their half-blank notes, and the delegates were exhausted. Like a glimmer of sunshine on a rainy day, a scoop of ice cream in the month of May, and getting everybody out of their way, a press conference of reporters barged into the committee with flashlights and all their mights. If the blame game were snakes and ladders, the delegates would’ve continuously rolled sixes, only to repeatedly get bitten by the snake at 98. After the quality of the answers they received, their Head couldn’t help but ask, “Tum logo ne kiya kya hai?” And the simple answer was... well, nothing. The delegates spent three days ‘trying’ to form a resolution, but all they ended up doing was wasting the space of a conference hall, and the time of the EB. Safe to say, in the end, the committee got pressed...by the press, like some “bad sushi”.



NETFLIX

Search movies on Netflix



HFS MUN BTS

99 % match 13 seasons





THE PRESS CORPS



RATED U/A 13+

CRUDE HUMORE, LANGUAGE, MATURE THEMES

PRESS

Isha Ganesan Head of Press
 Anaamya Panchal Head of Press

DESIGN

Neha Dhore Head of Design
 Jiiya Gahrotra Head of Design

Ananya Satyanarayan Reporters
 Soha Shah Reporters
 Maithili Kauthekar Reporters
 Aryan Panda Reporters
 Simran Choudhury Reporters
 Aarna Ponnappa Reporters
 Sofia Gluckman Reporters
 Avani Garg Reporters
 Priyana Adani Reporters
 Alannah Maxen Reporters
 Rimsha Syed Reporters
 Soham Shah Reporters
 Shlok Banerjee Reporters
 Kimaya Lahiri Reporters
 Viha Shah Reporters
 Saara Maitra Reporters
 Saanvi Bhadange Reporters
 Hrihaan Shah Illustrators
 Reya Gorti Illustrators
 Shrishti Mehra Illustrators
 Mehek Jeelani Illustrators
 Panav Nanda Illustrators

Aakangsha Banerjee Designers
 Muskaan Batra Designers
 Mahek Bhojwani Designers
 Vihaan Tejwani Designers
 Manasi Sonawne Designers
 Anshita Agrawal Designers
 Pari Patel Designers

[WATCH CREDITS](#)

[▶ NEXT EPISODE IN 3](#)



HFS MUN 2024

MUN

EDITION XIII

